## **Appendix E: Project Level Roads Analysis**

## Results of the Suiattle Roads Project-Level Analysis

The following table provides a summary of the analysis completed on roads included in the Suiattle Access and Travel Management project. This table includes each road considered in the project, the section of applicable road from the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forests Roads Analysis (USDA 2003), the recommended management for that section of road in the Roads Analysis, and results of the project-level analysis completed for this project. The final recommendations for this analysis were used to develop the proposed action for this project. Additional information regarding the risks, current uses, and future needs for each road can be found in the "Notes" column.

## Legend

\*Recommended Management Information found in Forest Roads Analysis where 0 = Decommission, 1 = Closed and Stabilize, 2 = Open to High Clearance Vehicle or Administrative Use, 3 and 4 = Open to Passenger Car.

Table E-22. Results of the Suiattle Roads Project-Level Analysis

	Forest 2003)	Roads A	Analysis (U	SDA	Suiattle Access and Travel Management Project-Level Analysis							
FS Road Number	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length (miles)	Recom. Mgmt*	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length (miles)	Current Maintenance Level**	Final Maintenance Level (Proposed Action)	Notes (includes specific recommendations, any changes from Forest Roads Analysis, and reasons for changes)		
	0	0.5	0.5	3	0	3.23	3.23	3	3	Closure benefit to grizzly bear; road needed as haul route for LSR treatment; Native American		
	0.5	6	5.5	3	3.23	5.97	2.74	3	2	religious and cultural use identified; low dispersed recreation use; 0.1 miles of road		
2500000	6	13	7	0	5.97	13	7.03	1	0	present a high risk to aquatics (2%);		
2500016	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0.5	0.5	2	0	Low priority for Matrix access (WSR)		
2500017	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	2	Access to orchard		
					0	0.33	0.33	1	1			
2500019	0	0.6	0.6	0	0.33	0.6	0.27	1	0	Low priority for Matrix access (WSR)		
2500800	0	0.4	0.4	0	0	0.4	0.4	1	0	Low priority for LSR access		
	0	0.1	0.1	2	0	0.1	0.1	1	1			
2509000	0.1	0.4	0.3	0	0.1	0.4	0.3	1	0	Low priority for LSR access		
	0	0.64	0.64	2	0	2.9	2.9	2	2	Closure benefit to mountain goats and grizzly bear; high priority to retain access for LSR		
	0.64	6.9	6.26	1	2.9	6.2	3.3	2	1	treatment to MP 6.9, low priority from MP 6.9 to terminus; acces to watch mountain goats (for monitoring) desired for Native American religious and cultural use; low dispersed		
2510000	6.9	10.5	3.6	0	6.2	10.5	4.3	2	0	recreation use; 0.55 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics (5%);		
	0	0.6	0.6	2								
2510012	0.6	2	1.4	0	0	2	2	1	0	Low priority for LSR access		
2510014	0	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	1	0	Low priority for LSR access		
	0	1.2	1.2	0	0	1.2	1.2	2	1	Closure benefit to grizzly bear; high priority to		
	1.2	1.9	0.7	0	1.2	1.9	0.7	2	1	retain access for LSR treatment; low dispersed		
0544000	1.9	2.6	0.7	0	1.9	2.6	0.7	2	1	recreation use; 2.6 miles of road present a high		
2511000	2.6	3.065	0.465	0	2.6	3.07	0.47	2	1	risk to aquatics (100%);		

FS Road Number   Recommendations   Recommendat		Forest Roads Analysis (USDA 2003)					Suiattle Access and Travel Management Project-Level Analysis								
2512000		Mile	Mile			Mile	Mile		Maintenance	Maintenance Level (Proposed	any changes from Forest Roads Analysis,				
2512000   0   1.9   1.9   0   0   0   1.9   1.9   0   0   0   1.9   1.9   2   1   (100%);											goats; high priority to retain access for LSR treatment; low dispersed recreation use; 2.6				
2512012   0   0.35   0.35   1   0   0.35   0.35   1   0   0.35   0.35   1   0   1   1   Needed for LSR access	2512000	0	1.9	1.9	0	0	1.9	1.9	2	1					
2515000   0   0.9   0.9   1   0   0.9   0.9   1   1   1   Needed for LSR access	2512012	0	0.35	0.35	1	0	0.35	0.35		0	1				
252000   0.1   3.7   3.6   0   0.1   3.7   3.6   1   0   Low priority for LSR access	2515000	0	0.9	0.9	1	0	0.9	0.9	1	1					
2530000   0   1.5   1.5   0   0   0   1.5   1.5   1   0   Low priority for LSR access and Matrix (WSR)		0	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	2	2					
1.2	2520000	0.1	3.7	3.6	0	0.1	3.7	3.6	1	0	Low priority for LSR access				
2540000   1.2   4.4   3.2   0   1.1   5   3.9   1   0   1.0   1.0   1.1   1.1   2   0	2530000	0	1.5	1.5	0	0	1.5	1.5	1	0	Low priority for LSR access and Matrix (WSR)				
2540000   1.2   4.4   3.2   0   1.1   5   3.9   1   0   Low priority for LSR access		0	1.2	1.2	0	0	1.1	1.1	2	0					
2540012	2540000	1.2	4.4	3.2	0	1.1	5	3.9	1	0					
2550000 2.5 5.1 2.6 D? 0 2.5 2.5 1 0 retain access for LSR treatment;    Road needed as haul route for LSR treatment;   Native American, religious and cultural use identified; high developed recreation investment; high dispersed recreation use; 8   9.8 10.2   0.4   4   9.8 10.2   0.4   4   4   miles of road present a high risk to aquatics   2600000   10.2   23.2   13   3   10.2   23.2   13   4   3   (34%);   2600014   0   0.8   0.8   3   0   0.8   0.8   3   3   Campground access   2600016   0.13   0.78   0.65   0   0   0.13   0.13   2   2   Guard station access   2600017   0   1   1   0   0   0.1   0.1   0   0   0.1   0.1   3   Trailhead access	2540012	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	American, religious and cultural use identified;				
2550000   2.5   5.1   2.6   D?   0   2.5   2.5   1   0   retain access for LSR treatment;   Road needed as haul route for LSR treatment;   Native American, religious and cultural use identified; high developed recreation investment; high dispersed recreation use; 8   9.8   10.2   0.4   4   9.8   10.2   0.4   4   4   miles of road present a high risk to aquatics   2600000   10.2   23.2   13   3   10.2   23.2   13   4   3   (34%);   2600014   0   0.8   0.8   3   0   0.8   0.8   3   3   Campground access   2600016   0.13   0.78   0.65   0   0   0.13   0.13   2   2   2   Guard station access   2600017   0   1   1   0   0   0   1   1   1   0   Low priority for Matrix access (WSR)   2600021   0   0.1   0.1   0   0   0.1   0.1   3   3   Trailhead access   3   3   Trailhead access   3   3   Trailhead access   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3		0	2.5	2.5	0						Closure benefit to grizzly bear: low priority to				
0         9.8         9.8         4         0         9.8         9.8         5         4         Native American, religious and cultural use identified; high developed recreation investment; high dispersed recreation use; 8 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics           2600000         10.2         23.2         13         3         10.2         23.2         13         4         3         (34%);           2600014         0         0.8         0.8         3         0         0.8         0.8         3         3         Campground access           2600016         0.13         0.78         0.65         0         0         0.13         0.13         2         2         Guard station access           2600017         0         1         1         1         0         Low priority for Matrix access (WSR)           2600021         0         0.1         0.1         0.1         0.1         0.1         3         3         Trailhead access	2550000	2.5	5.1	2.6	D?	0	2.5	2.5	1	0					
2600014         0         0.8         0.8         3         0         0.8         0.8         3         Campground access           2600016         0.13         0.78         0.65         0         0         0.13         0.13         2         2         Guard station access           2600017         0         1         1         0         1         1         0         Low priority for Matrix access (WSR)           2600021         0         0.1         0.1         0.1         3         3         Trailhead access		9.8	10.2	0.4	4	9.8	10.2	0.4	4	4	Native American, religious and cultural use identified; high developed recreation investment; high dispersed recreation use; 8 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics				
2600016         0.13         0.78         0.65         0         0         0.13         0.13         2         2         Guard station access           2600017         0         1         1         0         1         1         0         Low priority for Matrix access (WSR)           2600021         0         0.1         0.1         0         0.1         3         3         Trailhead access											1				
2600017         0         1         1         0         0         1         1         0         Low priority for Matrix access (WSR)           2600021         0         0.1         0.1         0.1         3         3         Trailhead access		_				_									
2600021 0 0.1 0.1 0 0 0.1 0.1 3 3 Trailhead access					_	_	1								
					_	_			·						
	2600021	0	0.1	0.1	3	0	0.1	0.1	3	3	Campground access				

	Forest 2003)	Roads A	Analysis (U	SDA		Suiattle Access and Travel Management Project-Level Analysis								
FS Road Number	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length (miles)	Recom. Mgmt*	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length (miles)	Current Maintenance Level**	Final Maintenance Level (Proposed Action)	Notes (includes specific recommendations, any changes from Forest Roads Analysis, and reasons for changes)				
2600027	0	0.2	0.2	3	0	0.2	0.2	3	3	Trailhead access				
	0	3.7	3.7	2	0	3.7	3.7	3	2	Closure benefit to grizzly bear; road accesses matrix, higher LSR need beyond matrix; berry-				
	3.7	4.8	1.1	2	3.7	4.8	1.1	2	2	picking site along road; road accesses berry- picking and bear hunting area, identified for				
	4.8	6.8	2	1	4.8	6.8	2	1	1	Native American religious and cultural use; no developed recreation investment, but user-developed trail off of road; low dispersed				
2640000	6.8	10.2	3.4	0	6.8	10.2	3.4	1	0	recreation use, but road includes 1 hr. flat hike to Tupos Lake; 4.33 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics (41%);				
2641000	0	1.3	1.3	1	0	1.3	1.3	1	1	Access to Matrix				
2642000	0	5.35	5.35	2	0	5.35	5.35	2	2	Closure benefit to grizzly bear and mountain goat; road accesses matrix, higher LSR need beyond matrix; berry-picking site along road; road accesses berry-picking and bear hunting area, identified for Native American religious and cultural use; no developed recreation investment, but user-developed trail off of road; low dispersed recreation use, but road includes 1 hr. flat hike to Tupos Lake; 4.33 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics (41%);				
2642016	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0.5	0.5	1	0	Low priority for LSR access				
2642025	0	0.9	0.9	1	0	0.9	0.9	1	1	Access to Matrix				
2642030 2642070	0	0.8	0.8 1.02	1 0	0	0.8 1.02	0.8 1.02	1 1	1 0	Closure benefit to grizzly bear; road accesses matrix land; low dispersed recreation use; Low priority for LSR access				
2642080	0	0.2	0.2	0	0	0.2	0.2	1	0	Low priority for LSR access				
2643000	0	3	3	0	0	3	3	1	0	Low priority for LSR access				
2643014	0	0.4	0.4	0	0	0.4	0.4	1	0	Low priority for LSR access				

	Forest 2003)	Roads A	Analysis (U	ISDA	Suiattle Access and Travel Management Project-Level Analysis							
FS Road Number	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length (miles)	Recom. Mgmt*	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length (miles)	Current Maintenance Level**	Final Maintenance Level (Proposed Action)	Notes (includes specific recommendations, any changes from Forest Roads Analysis, and reasons for changes)		
2650000	0	3	3	1	0	3	3	1	1	Closure benefit to grizzly bear; road accesses matrix; low dispersed recreation use;		
2660000	7.7	7.7	7.7	2	7.2	7.2	7.2	3	2	Closure benefit to mountain goatsand grizzly bear; road accesses matrix from origin to Tenas Creek (MP 7.7), low priority to retain access for LSR treatment from Tenas Creek to trailhead (MP 7.7-11.8); road accesses berrypicking site; road accesses Native American religious and cultural site, would serve same purposes as a trail; moderate developed recreation investment (system trail, but little maintenance, low standard fisherman's trail, low-use, but special); low dispersed recreation use; 2.72 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics from origin to MP 7.7 and 1.23 miles from MP 7.7-11.8 (47%); annual maintenance cost: \$13,726;		
2660012	0	0.3	0.3	1	0	0.3	0.3	1	1	Access to Matrix		
2660014	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0.5	0.5	1	0	Currently used as trail		
2660015	0	0.2	0.2	0	0	0.2	0.2	1	0	Low priority for LSR access		
2661000	0	1.5 4.22	1.5 2.72	1	0	4.22	4.22	1	1	Closure benefit to grizzly bear and deer; road accesses matrix; low dispersed recreation use; 0.66 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics (16%);		
2661014	0	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	1	1	Closure benefit to grizzly bear and deer; road accesses matrix; low dispersed recreation use;		

	Forest Roads Analysis (USDA 2003)					Suiattle Access and Travel Management Project-Level Analysis							
FS Road Number	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length (miles)	Recom. Mgmt*	Begin Mile Post	End Mile Post	Length (miles)	Current Maintenance Level**	Final Maintenance Level (Proposed Action)	Notes (includes specific recommendations, any changes from Forest Roads Analysis, and reasons for changes)			
2680000	0	5.731	5.731	2	0	5.73	5.73	3	3	Closure benefit to grizzly bear; low priority to retain access for LSR treatment; short, hike to berry-picking site; road accesses berry-picking and bear hunting area, identified for Native American religious and cultural use; high developed recreation investment (trailhead, system trail, high-elevation day hike, access to hunting/gathering, and scenic vista); low dispersed recreation use; occasional maintenance of road in avalanche chutes.			
200000						0.70	0.10	, J		Closure benefit to mountain goats and grizzly			
	0	8	8	2						bear; medium priority to retain access for LSR			
2700000	8	10.1	2.1	3	3.9	10.1	6.2	3	3	treatment; moderate dispersed recreation use;			
2700005	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	Low priority for LSR access			
2700016	0	0.42	0.42	1	0	0.42	0.42	1	1	Access to Matrix			
					0	1.7	1.7	2	2	Closure benefit to grizzly bear; low priority to retain access for LSR treatment; short, easy hike to berry-picking site; driving access to berries desired for Native American religious			
2703000	0	6.8	6.8	0	1.7	6.8	5.1	2	0	and cultural use; no developed recreation investment, but user-developed trail off of road; moderate dispersed recreation use; 4.67 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics (56%);			
2703100	0	1.5	1.5	0	0	1.5	1.5	2	0	Closure benefit to grizzly bear; low priority to retain access for LSR treatment; short, easy hike to berry-picking site; driving access to berries desired for Native American religious and cultural use; no developed recreation investment, but user-developed trail off of road; moderate dispersed recreation use; 4.67 miles of road present a high risk to aquatics (56%);			